Evidence Gaps Research Taxonomy Table Research to Address Evidence Gaps in Preventive Services for the USPSTF Topic: Research Gaps for Screening and Preventive Interventions for Oral Health in Adults

To fulfill its mission to improve health by making evidence-based recommendations for preventive services, the USPSTF routinely highlights the most critical evidence gaps for making actionable preventive services recommendations. As summarized in the research needs and gaps table (Table 2) in the oral health recommendation statement, the USPSTF often needs additional evidence to create the strongest recommendations for everyone and especially for persons with the greatest burden of disease.

In this table, the USPSTF summarizes key bodies of evidence needed to make recommendations for Screening and Preventive Interventions for Oral Health in Adults. For each of the evidence gaps listed below, the USPSTF provides guidance to researchers and funders on the types of studies needed to expand the evidence in oral health for adults and enable the USPSTF to make evidence-based recommendations for oral health in primary care settings and be inclusive of populations with a high prevalence of oral health conditions.

The research taxonomy is intended to provide general guidance to investigators. Investigators are encouraged to develop research designs that are responsive to the research taxonomy outlined in the table, in collaboration with their research teams and areas of expertise and experience. The research developed will be reviewed according to standard USPSTF criteria for inclusion in its evidence report; inclusion criteria are summarized in the final Research Plan (https://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/uspstf/document/final-research-plan/oral-health-adults-screening-preventive-interventions) and Procedure Manual (https://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/uspstf/about-uspstf/methods-and-processes/procedure-manual).

| | Key Questions* or | Direct/ | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| Research Gap | Contextual Questions | Indirect Pathway [†] | Type of Gap [‡] | Study Characteristics | Population | Intervention/ Comparison | Outcomes/ Timing | Setting |
| Research dap | Questions | Pattiway | • | Oral Health in Adults | <u> </u> | Companison | Tillillig | Setting |
| B 1: 11: | 1/04 | D: . | | | • | | cı : | D (116 |
| Research is needed to | KQ1 | Direct | Grade | RCTs, controlled | Adults 18 years | Screening vs. no | Change in | Range of US |
| assess the | | | assignment/ | trials, and | or older; | screening | dental caries, | nondental |
| effectiveness and | | | health | observational | studies should | | periodontal | primary care |
| harms of primary | | | equity | studies. | be | | disease, and | settings. |
| care-based oral | | | | Observational | representative | | tooth loss | Studies should |
| health screening | | | | studies should be | of the US | | | be |
| strategies on oral | | | | well designed§ | population [¶] and | | | representative |
| health outcomes. | | | | with low risk for | inclusive of | | | of settings in |
| | | | | bias using robust | groups | | | the US and |
| | | | | contemporaneous | disproportion- | | | inclusive of |
| | | | | comparison | ately affected | | | settings |
| | | | | groups | by oral health | | | disproportion- |
| | | | | 0.00,00 | issues | | | ately affected |
| | | | | | 133463 | | | atery arrected |

| Research Gap | Key Questions* or Contextual Questions | Direct/ Indirect Pathway⁺ | Type of Gap [‡] | Study Characteristics | Population | Intervention/ Comparison | Outcomes/ Timing | Setting |
|--|--|---------------------------------|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Q | , | ou.p | | распол | p | 9 | by oral health |
| Research is needed to assess the diagnostic accuracy of oral health examinations and risk assessment tools in the primary care setting to identify adults with oral health conditions. | KQ2 | Indirect | Grade assignment/ health equity | RCTs, controlled trials, and observational studies. Observational studies should be well designed with low risk for bias using robust contemporaneous comparison groups | Adults 18 years or older; studies should be representative of the US population and inclusive of groups disproportionately affected by oral health issues | Test performance (e.g., sensitivity, specificity, receiver operating characteristic analysis, area under the curve, calibration) of oral health screening examinations and risk assessment tools compared to no screening | Overall test performance in identifying adults with oral health outcomes, including dental caries, periodontal disease, tooth loss, change in quality of life, functional status, and morbidity | Range of US nondental primary care settings. Studies should be representative of settings in the US and inclusive of settings disproportionately affected by oral health issues |
| | 1 | Prever | ntive Interventi | ons for Oral Health in | Adults in Primary | | • | 1 |
| Research is needed to assess the accuracy of primary care—based oral health examinations and risk assessment tools to identify adults at increased risk of oral health conditions. | KQ1 | Direct | Grade assignment/ health equity | RCTs, controlled trials, and observational studies. Studies should be well designed with low risk for bias using robust contemporaneous comparison groups | Adults 18 years or older; studies should be representative of the US population and inclusive of groups disproportionately affected by oral health issues | Test performance (e.g., sensitivity, specificity, receiver operating characteristic analysis, area under the curve, calibration) of oral health examinations and risk | Overall test performance in identifying adults with outcomes, including dental caries, periodontal disease, tooth loss, change in quality of life, functional status, and morbidity | Range of US nondental primary care settings. Studies should be representative of settings in the US and inclusive of settings disproportionately affected by oral health issues |

| Research Gap | Key Questions* or Contextual Questions | Direct/ Indirect Pathway [†] | Type of Gap [‡] | Study Characteristics | Population | Intervention/ Comparison | Outcomes/ Timing | Setting |
|---|--|---|--|--|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | assessment tools | | |
| Research is needed to assess the effectiveness and harms of preventive interventions, including but not limited to, fluoride gel, fluoride varnish, sealants, silver diamine fluoride, and xylitol in the primary care setting on oral health conditions. | KQ4 & KQ5 | Direct | Grade assignment/ health equity | RCTs, controlled trials, and observational studies. Studies should be well designed§ with low risk for bias using robust contemporaneous comparison groups | Adults 18 years or older; studies should be representative of the US population and inclusive of groups disproportionately affected by oral health issues | Compare preventive interventions (e.g., fluoride gel, fluoride varnish) to no intervention/ placebo | KQ4: Incidence or change in severity of dental caries, periodontal disease, or tooth loss. Changes in quality of life, functional status, or morbidity KQ5: Potential harms (e.g., dental fluorosis, tooth staining, bone effects, and neurological effects) | Range of US nondental primary care settings. Studies should be representative of settings in the US and inclusive of settings disproportionately affected by oral health issues |
| Research is needed to assess the effectiveness and harms of oral health education and behavioral counseling interventions on oral health outcomes. | KQ2 | Direct | Grade assignment/ health equity | RCTs, controlled trials, and observational studies. Studies should be well designed with low risk for bias using robust contemporaneous comparison groups | Adults 18 years or older; studies should be representative of the US population and inclusive of groups disproportionately affected by oral health issues | Compare education and behavioral counseling interventions to no intervention/ placebo | Incidence or change in severity of dental caries, periodontal disease, or tooth loss. Changes in quality of life, functional status, or morbidity | Range of US nondental primary care settings. Studies should be representative of settings in the US and inclusive of settings disproportion- ately affected |

| Research Gap | Key Questions* or Contextual Questions | Direct/ Indirect Pathway [†] | Type of Gap‡ | Study Characteristics | Population | Intervention/ Comparison | Outcomes/ Timing | Setting |
|--|--|---|--|--|---|---|---|---|
| | | | - | | - | | Potential | by oral health |
| | | | | | | | harms (e.g., dental | issues |
| | | | | | | | fluorosis, tooth staining, bone effects, and neurological effects) | |
| Research is needed to identify the effectiveness of strategies to prevent periodontitis that can be delivered in primary care settings and their effects on associated adverse health outcomes such as tooth loss or cognitive or cardiovascular conditions. | KQ4 | Direct | Grade assignment/ health equity | RCTs, controlled trials, and observational studies. Studies should be well designed§ with low risk for bias using robust contemporaneous comparison groups | Adults 18 years or older; studies should be representative of the US population and inclusive of groups disproportionately affected by oral health issues | Compare interventions to prevent or delay periodontitis (or other adverse health outcomes such as tooth loss or cognitive or cardiovascular conditions) to no prevention/ treatment | Change in periodontal disease, tooth loss, cognitive conditions, or cardiovascular conditions | Range of US nondental primary care settings. Studies should be representative of settings in the US and inclusive of settings disproportionately affected by oral health issues |

^{*} Key questions are an integral part of the approach to conducting systematic reviews that the USPSTF uses in its recommendation process. Along with the analytic framework, these questions specify the logic and scope of the topic and are critical to guiding the literature searches, data abstraction, and analysis processes (https://uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/uspstf/about-uspstf/methods-and-processes/procedure-manual).

[†] The direct pathway is typically derived from RCTs of the targeted screening or preventive intervention that adequately measure the desired health outcomes in the population(s) of interest. If certainty for net benefit cannot be derived from the direct pathway, then the USPSTF determines if the evidence is sufficient across the key questions and linkages in the indirect pathway to determine overall certainty.

[‡] Types of gaps may include: grade assignment (moving from an I statement to a letter grade), change in letter grade (e.g., from a C to B or C to D), health equity, combined (e.g., grade assignment and health equity), or general gap (e.g., uptake of a clinical preventive service).

[§] Well-designed studies should include, but are not limited to, nonbiased selection of screening participants, addressing confounders (e.g., use of well-matched comparison groups at recruitment on baseline clinical and demographic characteristics), and avoidance of use of historical controls. For additional information on guidelines used by the USPSTF to evaluate evidence please see: Harris RP, Helfand M, Woolf SH, et al. Current methods of the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force: a review of the process. *Am J Prev Med.* 2001;20(3):21-35.

[¶] Studies should report and describe demographic characteristics (including but not limited to age, social factors, race and ethnicity, gender, rurality [and/or geographically underserved areas]) and settings of enrolled participants.

Abbreviations: RCT = randomized clinical trial; USPSTF = US Preventive Services Task Force.