



U.S. Preventive Services Task Force

Literature Surveillance Report

Title: Screening for Oral Cancer

Literature surveillance date: September 2023

Recommendation Summary: In 2013, the Task Force concluded that the current evidence was insufficient to assess the balance of benefits and harms of screening for oral cancer in asymptomatic adults (**Grade: I statement**).

Research Gaps from Previous Task Force Review: The 2013 recommendation was based on a targeted evidence review with a search through July 2011. The Task Force identified important gaps and recommends further research on:

- The benefits and harms of oral cancer screening in persons in the US at increased risk (e.g., those with history of tobacco and heavy alcohol use) and in groups with higher oral cancer mortality (e.g., Black persons);
- The accuracy of screening persons in the US at increased risk conducted by primary care providers, dentists, dental hygienists, or other trained persons;
- Longitudinal followup of screening studies applicable to the US that illustrate the health effects of screening for oral cancer and provide a clear understanding of who is at high risk in the US;
- The benefits of screening for human papilloma virus (HPV) and selection of populations for oral cancer screening based on HPV status; and
- The efficacy of HPV vaccines in preventing infection at non-cervical sites and in decreasing the risk for oropharyngeal cancer.

Summary of New Evidence: Literature scans in the MEDLINE database and Cochrane Library were limited to English language, core and specialty clinical journals, 2011 to present. Three systematic reviews on oral cancer screening programs included only the one trial from India that was in the previous USPSTF review.^{1, 2, 3}

No new studies address the effects of *screening* on morbidity or mortality outcomes. A risk-based reanalysis of the single RCT included in the prior USPSTF review (Kerala Oral Cancer Screening Trial) presents a risk prediction model for oral cancer incidence to enable identification of high-risk individuals and uses this model to compare screening efficacy on oral cancer mortality across risk thresholds.⁴

Sixteen studies evaluate the performance of screening tests,⁵⁻²⁰ including HPV tests^{5, 18-20} toluidine blue,^{6, 10, 13} swabs using droplet digital PCR,⁷ fluorescent visualization,^{12, 14, 15} DNA image cytometry,¹¹ cytology smears,^{16, 17} methylene blue,⁸ and high-frequency soft tissue ultrasound.⁹ Only two studies were conducted in the US,^{19, 20} and no studies were conducted in primary care settings.

References

1. Brocklehurst P, Kujan O, O'Malley LA, et al. Screening programmes for the early detection and prevention of oral cancer. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2013(11):Cd004150. PMID: 24254989. [10.1002/14651858.CD004150.pub4](https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD004150.pub4).
2. Parak U, Lopes Carvalho A, Roitberg F, et al. Effectiveness of screening for oral cancer and oral potentially malignant disorders (OPMD): A systematic review. *Prev Med Rep.* 2022;30:101987. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pmedr.2022.101987>.



U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Literature Surveillance Report

3. Ribeiro MFA, Oliveira MCM, Leite AC, et al. Assessment of screening programs as a strategy for early detection of oral cancer: a systematic review. *Oral Oncology*. 2022;130:105936. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.oraloncology.2022.105936>.
4. Cheung L, Ramadas K, Muwonge R, et al. Risk-based selection of individuals for oral cancer screening. *Journal of clinical oncology*. 2021: Available from: <https://www.cochranelibrary.com/central/doi/10.1002/central/CN-02237141/full>.
5. Isaac A, Kostiuk M, Zhang H, et al. Ultrasensitive detection of oncogenic human papillomavirus in oropharyngeal tissue swabs. *J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. 2017;46(1):5. PMID: 28088212. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s40463-016-0177-8>.
6. Parakh MK, Jagat Reddy RC, Subramani P. Toluidine Blue Staining in Identification of a Biopsy Site in Potentially Malignant Lesions: A Case-control Study. *Asiapacific Journal of Oncology Nursing*. 2017;4(4):356-60. PMID: 28966966. https://dx.doi.org/10.4103/apjon.apjon_38_17.
7. Koch FP, Kunkel M, Biesterfeld S, et al. Diagnostic efficiency of differentiating small cancerous and precancerous lesions using mucosal brush smears of the oral cavity--a prospective and blinded study. *Clin Oral Investig*. 2011;15(5):763-9. PMID: 20593209. [10.1007/s00784-010-0434-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00784-010-0434-6).
8. Gupta M, Shrivastava K, Raghuvanshi V, et al. Application of in vivo stain of methylene blue as a diagnostic aid in the early detection and screening of oral cancerous and precancerous lesions. *J*. 2019;23(2):304. PMID: 31516247. https://dx.doi.org/10.4103/jomfp.JOMFP_242_17.
9. Izzetti R, Vitali S, Aringhieri G, et al. The efficacy of Ultra-High Frequency Ultrasonography in the diagnosis of intraoral lesions. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol*. 2020;129(4):401-10. PMID: 32009004. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.oooo.2019.09.012>.
10. Jayasinghe RD, Hettiarachchi P, Amugoda D, et al. Validity of Toluidine Blue test as a diagnostic tool for high risk oral potentially malignant disorders- a multicentre study in Sri Lanka. *J Oral Biol Craniofac Res*. 2020;10(4):547-51. PMID: 32923360. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jobcr.2020.08.002>.
11. Li C, Wu L, Deng Y, et al. DNA aneuploidy with image cytometry for detecting dysplasia and carcinoma in oral potentially malignant disorders: A prospective diagnostic study. *Cancer Medicine*. 2020;07:07. PMID: 32638539. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cam4.3293>.
12. Morikawa T, Shibahara T, Nomura T, et al. Non-Invasive Early Detection of Oral Cancers Using Fluorescence Visualization with Optical Instruments. *Cancers*. 2020;12(10):27. PMID: 32992486. <https://dx.doi.org/10.3390/cancers12102771>.
13. Prajeesh KM, Soni S. A Study of Toluidine Blue Staining in Suspected Oral Malignancies in Patients Presenting to Tertiary Care Hospital in Central India. *Indian j*. 2019;71(4):492-7. PMID: 31750109. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12070-019-01672-4>.
14. Shavlokhova V, Flechtenmacher C, Sandhu S, et al. Detection of oral squamous cell carcinoma with ex vivo fluorescence confocal microscopy: Sensitivity and specificity compared to histopathology. *Journal of Biophotonics*. 2020;13(9):e202000100. PMID: 32418329. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jbio.202000100>.
15. Shi L, Li C, Shen X, et al. Potential role of autofluorescence imaging in determining biopsy of oral potentially malignant disorders: A large prospective diagnostic study. *Oral Oncology*. 2019;98:176-9. PMID: 31416690. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.oraloncology.2019.08.006>.
16. Sood A, Mishra D, Yadav R, et al. Establishing the accuracy of a new and cheaper sample collection tool: Oral cytology versus oral histopathology. *J*. 2020;24(1):52-6. PMID: 32508448. https://dx.doi.org/10.4103/jomfp.JOMFP_273_19.
17. Sukegawa S, Ono S, Nakano K, et al. Clinical study on primary screening of oral cancer and precancerous lesions by oral cytology. *Diagnostic Pathology*. 2020;15(1):107. PMID: 32912249. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13000-020-01027-6>.



U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Literature Surveillance Report

18. Ekanayake Weeramange C, Liu Z, Hartel G, et al. Salivary High-Risk Human Papillomavirus (HPV) DNA as a Biomarker for HPV-Driven Head and Neck Cancers. *J Mol Diagn.* 2021;[Epub ahead of print]. PMID: 34325059. [10.1016/j.jmoldx.2021.07.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmoldx.2021.07.005).
19. Siravegna G, O'Boyle CJ, Varmeh S, et al. Cell-Free HPV DNA Provides an Accurate and Rapid Diagnosis of HPV-Associated Head and Neck Cancer. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2022;28(4):719-27. [10.1158/1078-0432.Ccr-21-3151](https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.Ccr-21-3151).
20. Ferrandino RM, Chen S, Kappauf C, et al. Performance of Liquid Biopsy for Diagnosis and Surveillance of Human Papillomavirus–Associated Oropharyngeal Cancer. *JAMA Otolaryngology–Head & Neck Surgery.* 2023;[Epub ahead of print]. PMID: 37422913. [10.1001/jamaoto.2023.1937](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaoto.2023.1937).